EXHIBIT 28



Transcript of Todor Cooklev, Ph.D.

Date: April 8, 2022

Case: TQ Delta -v- Commscope Holding Company, Inc., et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
            FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3
                    MARSHALL DIVISION
4
     -----x
5
    TO DELTA, LLC,
6
                   Plaintiff, : Civil Action No.
7
                             : 2:21-CV-310-JRG
8
    COMMSCOPE HOLDING COMPANY, INC., : (Lead Case)
9
    COMMSCOPE INC., ARRIS
10
    INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, ARRIS :
11
    GLOBAL LTD., ARRIS US HOLDINGS, :
12
    INC., ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC., :
13
    ARRIS TECHNOLOGY, INC., and :
14
    ARRIS ENTERPRISES, LLC,
15
                  Defendants. :
    ----x
16
17
    (caption continued on next page)
18
19
       VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TODOR COOKLEV, PH.D.
20
                  Conducted Virtually
21
                  Friday, April 8, 2022
22
                     1:05 p.m. EDT
23
    Job No.: 444695
24
    Pages: 1 - 93
25
    Reported by: Monique Vouthouris, CCR, RPR, CRR
```

2

1 (caption continued) 2 -----x 3 TQ DELTA, LLC, 4 Plaintiff, : Civil Action No. 5 : 2:21-CV-309-JRG V. 6 NOKIA CORP., NOKIA SOLUTIONS : (Member Case) 7 AND NETWORKS OY, and NOKIA : 8 OF AMERICA CORP., 9 Defendants. 10 ----x 11 NOKIA OF AMERICA CORP., 12 Third-Party Plaintiff, : 13 V. 14 BROADCOM CORP., BROADCOM INC., : 15 and AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES 16 INTERNATIONAL SALES PTE. LTD., : 17 Third-Party Defendants.: 18 19 20 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TODOR COOKLEV, 21 PH.D., pursuant to notice, conducted virtually via 22 Zoom Videoconference, before Monique Vouthouris, 23 CCR, RPR, CRR, Notary Public in and for the States 24 of New Jersey and New York. 25

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

3

1 APPEARANCES 2 3 ON BEHALF OF TQ DELTA, LLC, AND 4 THE WITNESS, TODOR COOKLEV, PH.D.: 5 CHRISTIAN HURT, ESQ. 6 DAVIS FIRM, P.C. 7 213 North Fredonia 8 Longview, Texas 75601 9 903.230.9090 10 11 ON BEHALF OF THE COMMSCOPE DEFENDANTS: 12 RACHEL M. WALSH, ESQ. 13 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 14 Three Embarcadero Center 15 28th Floor 16 San Francisco, California 94111 17 415.733.6000 18 19 20 (continued) 21 22 23 24 25

4

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 2 3 ON BEHALF OF NOKIA: 4 NICHOLAS C. MARAIS, ESQ. 5 M. SCOTT STEVENS, ESQ. 6 KARLEE WROBLEWSKI, ESQ. 7 KATIE DONALD, ESQ. 8 ALSTON & BIRD LLP 9 101 South Tryon Street 10 Suite 4000 11 Charlotte, North Carolina 28280-4000 12 704.444.1000 13 14 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 JEAN-LOUIS ZIESCH, Planet Depos Videographer 17 BRIAN KRIEGER, Planet Depos Technician 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

5

1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF TODOR COOKLEV, PH.D. PAGE 3 By Mr. Marais 8 4 5 6 7 8 9 EXHIBITS 10 (Attached to transcript.) 11 COOKLEV DEPOSITION EXHIBIT PAGE 12 Exhibit 1 Curriculum Vitae of 11 13 Todor Cooklev, Ph.D., 21 pages. 14 Exhibit 2 Declaration of Dr. Todor Cookley 15 15 in Support of Plaintiff's 16 Opening Claim Construction Brief. 17 Exhibit 3 ITU-T Recommendation G.992.3, 25 18 TQD TX00085136 through 85447. 19 Exhibit 4 ITU-T Recommendation G.993.1, 27 20 TQD TX00125252 through 125479. 21 Exhibit 5 U.S. Patent 7,844,882, Resource 47 22 Sharing in a Telecommunications 23 Environment, November 30, 2010. 24 Exhibit 6 Convolutional Interleaver 52 25 demonstrative, 4 pages.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

6

1 EXHIBITS CONTINUED 2 (Attached to transcript.) 3 COOKLEV DEPOSITION EXHIBIT PAGE 4 Exhibit 7 U.S. Patent 7,453,881, Systems 75 5 and Methods for Multi-Pair ATM 6 Over DSL, November 18, 2008. 7 Exhibit 8 U.S. Patent 9,154,354, Systems 78 8 and Methods for a Multicarrier 9 Modulation System with a 10 Variable Margin, October 6, 2015. 11 12 **** 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

7

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 12:56:42 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is the beginning of 13:04:50 13:05:45 Media Number 1 of the videotaped deposition of 13:05:49 Dr. Todor Cooklev in the matter of TQ Delta, et al., 6 13:05:53 versus Commscope Holding, et al., as well as TO 7 13:06:01 Delta, et al., versus Nokia, et al., in the U.S. 8 13:06:04 District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, 9 13:06:07 Marshall Division, Case Number 2:21-CV-310-JRG and 10 Case Number 2:21-CV-309-JRG. 13:06:15 13:06:25 11 Today's date is April 8, 2022. The time 13:06:29 12 on the video monitor is 1:06 p.m. Eastern Standard 13 13:06:35 Time. The certified videographer today is 13:06:39 14 Jean-Louis Ziesch representing Planet Depos. This 13:06:40 15 video deposition is taking place remotely. 16 13:06:44 Would counsel please identify yourself and 13:06:46 17 state whom you represent. 13:06:49 18 MR. MARAIS: Nic Marais from Alston & Bird 19 13:06:53 on behalf of Nokia, and I have with me my colleagues 20 13:06:57 Scott Stevens, Karlee Wroblewski and Katie Donald. 13:06:59 21 MS. WALSH: Rachel Walsh on behalf of the 13:07:03 22 Commscope defendants, from Goodwin Procter. 2.3 MR. HURT: Christian Hurt. I'm here this 13:07:06 13:07:09 24 afternoon on behalf of the witness and the 25 13:07:13 plaintiff.

8

	1	1
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	13:07:15
2	today is Monique Vouthouris representing Planet	13:07:16
3	Depos. Would the court reporter please swear in the	13:07:23
4	witness.	13:07:25
5	TODOR COOKLEV, PH.D.,	13:07:47
6	being first duly sworn or affirmed by the Notary,	13:07:47
7	testifies as follows:	13:08:17
8	EXAMINATION	13:08:17
9	BY MR. MARAIS:	13:08:17
10	Q Can you please state your name?	13:08:23
11	A Todor Cooklev.	13:08:25
12	Q And you've been retained on behalf of the	13:08:27
13	plaintiff here today, TQ Delta?	13:08:31
14	A Yes.	13:08:33
15	Q And you're being compensated by the	13:08:34
16	plaintiff TQ Delta for your time?	13:08:37
17	A Yes.	13:08:40
18	Q And you understand that you're under oath	13:08:40
19	today?	13:08:43
20	A I do.	13:08:43
21	Q So you also understand that you should	13:08:45
22	give the same seriousness and truthfulness in	13:08:48
23	answering my questions today as you would if you	13:08:52
24	were before a judge or a jury.	13:08:56
25	A Yes, I understand that.	13:08:58

35

1 14:16:24 exist by itself? 2 14:16:30 MR. HURT: Object to the form. 3 14:16:31 Α Well, did I say that you put the 14:16:37 interleaver on a hardware component? I'm not sure I 14:16:41 5 said that. I said you can implement an interleaver 6 14:16:49 in hardware. Can you -- can that hardware exist by 14:17:06 7 itself before you implement the interleaver? I --8 14:17:38 well, that -- that I was going to give a general 9 14:17:45 answer, but I'm not sure that I investigated this 10 14:17:52 when I was working on the declaration. 14:18:01 11 So you said earlier that it's the 14:18:08 12 interleaver that reorders bytes; correct? 14:18:13 1.3 Generally, yes. 14:18:14 14 And it reorders those bytes into a 14:18:17 15 different sequence of bytes? 14:18:21 16 Yes, that's the reordering. 14:18:23 17 And then that sequence of bytes is 14:18:25 18 transmitted by a transmitter? 19 14:18:28 MR. HURT: Object to the form. 14:18:29 20 Yeah, and just -- just a comment, you said 14:18:34 21 different sequence of bytes. It is different in the 22 14:18:40 sense that the order of the bytes will be different. 2.3 I mean, the interleaver will change the order, but 14:18:44 14:18:47 24 it's not going to change the bytes themselves. 14:18:50 25 Okay. Let me rephrase. The transmitter

36

1 14:18:53 transmits the interleaved stream of bytes; right? 2 14:19:00 A Yes. 3 14:19:01 And a receiver receives that interleaved 14:19:04 4 stream of bytes; right? 14:19:07 5 The receiver will receive whatever the 6 14:19:12 transmitter is sending. 14:19:13 7 And then the receiver makes available to a 8 14:19:18 de-interleaver those -- that sequence of bytes to 9 14:19:25 de-interleave: correct? 10 14:19:27 MR. HURT: Object to the form. 14:19:28 11 A Yes. 14:19:34 12 I would like to go back to your 13 14:19:36 declaration, please, and we can turn to page 22. 14:19:45 14 I'm there. 14:19:51 15 MR. MARAIS: And, Brian, if we could bring 16 14:19:55 that up on the screen, please, that would be great. 14:19:58 17 THE TECHNICIAN: Stand by. 14:19:59 18 MR. MARAIS: Thank you. 19 14:20:06 THE TECHNICIAN: On-screen. 14:20:07 20 So, Dr. Cooklev, in paragraph 68 on this 14:20:13 21 -- in your declaration you talk about interleaving 14:20:16 22 and deinterleaving, and you say that interleaving 2.3 contributes to latency, and that's in the second 14:20:18 14:20:21 24 line there of paragraph 68; correct? 14:20:25 25 Α Yes.

	Conducted on April 8, 2022	7
1	Q For the record, that's page 52 of the	16:16:48
2	document, of Dr. Cooklev's declaration.	16:16:50
3	A So the question is a multicarrier symbol?	16:18:34
4	Q That's right.	16:18:38
5	A I'm looking at my declaration. So	16:19:37
6	multicarrier symbol is a signal that comprises a	16:19:40
7	plurality of carriers where each carrier	16:19:56
8	individually is modulating a bit or a collection of	16:20:00
9	bits.	16:20:08
10	Q And in arriving at that definition, is	16:20:11
11	that something that you got from the patent that you	16:20:15
12	reviewed in this case?	16:20:20
13	A Multicarrier symbol is a known term of	16:20:34
14	art.	16:20:40
15	Q Do you know if multicarrier symbol was	16:20:43
16	disclosed in the specifications or the written	16:20:46
17	descriptions of the patents you reviewed for this	16:20:51
18	case?	16:20:53
19	A Excuse me, what is the question?	16:20:54
20	Q Do you know whether the term "multicarrier	16:20:57
21	symbol" was disclosed in the patents of the or	16:21:00
22	the patents that you reviewed in drafting or in	16:21:07
23	drafting and putting together your declaration	16:21:09
24	today, that we're talking about today?	16:21:14
25	A Do I know whether the multicarrier	16:21:16

78

1	symbol		16:21:18
2		MR. HURT: Object to the form.	16:21:20
3	А	is disclosed in these patents?	16:21:20
4	Q	That's correct.	16:21:26
5	А	Well, yes, I do know that the term is	16:21:32
6	disclosed.		
7		MR. MARAIS: I'm going to bring in another	16:21:47
8	document,	please.	16:21:49
9	А	At least at least in some, in some of	16:21:50
10	the patents.		16:21:57
11	Q	So I'm presenting U.S. Patent Number	16:22:09
12	9,154,354.		16:22:14
13	А	I downloaded it.	16:22:30
14		(Cooklev Exhibit 8 marked for	16:22:30
15	identification.)		
16		THE TECHNICIAN: Exhibit 8 on-screen.	16:22:32
17	Q	Dr. Cooklev, you reviewed this patent in	16:22:34
18	drafting	your declaration; correct?	16:22:41
19	А	Yes.	16:22:43
20	Q	And this patent is one of the patents the	16:22:46
21	parties a	re calling a Family 10 patent?	16:22:50
22	А	I believe that's correct.	16:22:53
23	Q	So do you believe that the term	16:22:56
24	"multicar	rier symbol" is in this patent or is	16:22:59
25	disclosed	in this patent? And if so, can you please	16:23:02

79

1 16:23:06 point me to where? 2 16:23:07 MR. HURT: Object to the form. 3 16:23:41 Well, the term "multicarrier modulation 16:23:47 4 system" is -- appears in the title of this patent. 16:23:56 5 Is that the same thing as a multicarrier 6 16:23:59 symbol? 16:24:01 7 Well, not completely. A person of skill 8 16:24:10 reading this patent would understand that a 9 16:24:14 multicarrier modulation system produces multicarrier 10 16:24:24 symbols, in general. 16:24:28 11 Q To be clear, can you please let me know 16:24:31 12 where in this patent it uses the term "multicarrier 13 16:24:37 symbol"? 16:24:53 14 A Well, this term, the term exactly 16:24:56 15 "multicarrier symbol" appears in the claims of this 16:25:02 16 patent. 16:25:03 17 Okay. So it does not appear anywhere in 0 the written description of the patent? 16:25:06 18 19 16:25:10 MR. HURT: Object to the form. 16:25:16 20 But even if it doesn't, it doesn't need to 16:25:22 21 appear because the term "multicarrier symbol" was 22 16:25:32 known to a person of skill at the time of the 2.3 invention. 16:25:35 16:25:39 24 Sorry, my question was within the written 16:25:42 25 description, which -- and the written description is

86

16:45:39 1 robust reception or the first SNR margin provides 2 16:45:44 more robust reception than the second SNR margin. 3 16:45:48 So the more robust reception is not due to other 16:45:52 factors in the context of the claim. 16:45:58 5 Sorry. Are you done? 6 16:45:59 Yes, I am. Α 16:46:01 7 Can you please tell me where in the 8 16:46:04 specification of the '354 patent or in the Family 10 9 16:46:11 patents does the term "robustness" appear in 10 relation to a subchannel or carrier? 16:46:14 16:47:18 11 Yes, I think I can at least in column 2, 16:47:25 12 the paragraph starting with line 18, this paragraph 16:47:40 13 explains that, and it's towards the end of this 16:47:48 14 paragraph -- well, starting with line 26, "For 16:48:05 15 example, if the system is operating at the 6 dB 16:48:10 16 margin, for example, 4 bits are allocated to 16:48:18 17 carriers with 27.5 dB SNR for bit error rate 1 times 16:48:27 18 10 to the power of minus 7." So, then "the 19 16:48:38 crosstalk levels can increase by 6 dB and the system 16:48:43 20 will still be operating at the required 1 times 10 16:48:43 21 to the power minus 7 bit error rate." 22 16:48:55 So in this passage the specification is 2.3 relating to SNR margin per carrier giving an example 16:48:58 16:49:09 24 of -- well, 6 dB margin for all carriers in this 25 16:49:26 example, so 6 dB margin for all carriers, then --

then there will be 4 bits allocated to carriers with

27.5 dB SNR. And the -- after this paragraph the

specification says there's a trade-off between the

robustness of the channel and the achievable data

87

16:49:31

16:49:36

16:49:51

16:49:54

16:49:58

16:50:04

16:50:05

16:50:08

Q Okay. Are you done?

A I am.

Q Would you agree looking at column 2, those lines you just read to me, let's look at line 26

where it starts with "For example," do you see that?

A Yes.

rate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q And it says if this system, do you agree

that it's talking about the system here?

A Yes, it clearly says the system.

Q And then it gives some characteristics of

16 the system; correct?

A It says, "if the system is operating at

the 6 dB margin."

Q Yeah. And then it goes on and says -- again, you pointed to the SNR, right, just one line down, or two lines down.

A Yes.

Q And then again it says after the crosstalk levels gets increased by 6 decibels, again it says and the system will be operating; correct?

16:50:11 16:50:16 16:50:23 16:50:24 16:50:29 16:50:33 16:50:36 16:50:41 16:50:44 16:50:47 16:50:51 16:50:54 16:50:58 16:51:02

16:51:03

16:51:08

16:51:12

93

1 CERTIFICATE OF CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 2 3 4 I, MONIQUE VOUTHOURIS, New Jersey License No. 5 30XI00083400, the officer before whom the foregoing 6 remote deposition was taken, do hereby certify that 7 the foregoing transcript is a true and correct 8 record of the testimony of TODOR COOKLEV, PH.D.; 9 that said testimony was taken by me stenographically 10 and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 11 direction; that reading and signing was requested; 12 and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 13 employed by any of the parties to this case and have 14 no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 16 this 20th day of April 2022. 17 18 19 Monique Vouthours 20 21 Monique Vouthouris, CCR, RPR, CRR 22 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey 23 My commission expires: April 8, 2024 24 25